

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/098,631	03/18/2002	Yukitoshi Marutani	925-227	3720
-	700 00/11/2002			

7590

09/11/2003

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. 8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road Arlington, VA 22201 EXAMINER

MONBLEAU, DAVIENNE N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2828

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(A)			
	Application N	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summary	10/098,631	MARUTANI ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
71. 11111111111111111111111111111111111	Davienne Monbleau	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>463</u>				
	is action is non-final.	;			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	1.	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-11 is/are withdrawn					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		1			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.		- Paul Is			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2002 is/are: a		v the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	rity documents have been receiv				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro					
Attachment(s)		J			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/098,631

Art Unit: 2828

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1 and 2, drawn to an edge emitting device with a protruding region and shady film, classified in class 372, subclass 49
- II. Claim 3, drawn to a method of manufacturing an edge-emitting device, classified in class 438, subclass 31.
- III. Claims 4-11, drawn to a surface emitting laser with a pyramidal surface, classified in class 372, subclass 50.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other types of semiconductor lasers that do not comprise a protruding region.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. Invention II is a method of manufacturing an edge-emitting device and Invention III is a surface emitting laser with a pyramidal surface. The method in Invention II is not used to make the device of Invention III.

Application/Control Number: 10/098,631

Art Unit: 2828

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation. Invention I emits light in the horizontal direction through the side of the laser device and Invention III emits light in the vertical direction through the surface of the device.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Updeep Gill on 8/6/03 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1 and 2. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/098,631

Art Unit: 2828

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto (U.S. Patent No. 6,037,189) in view of Kobayashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,455,342). Regarding Claim 1, Goto teaches in Figure 1 a light-emitting element comprising a stripe ridge (5) having an n-type layer (3), an active layer (42), and a p-type layer (4), all of which are formed of semiconductor materials, and a substrate (1). Goto further teaches in Figure 1 that said stripe ridge (5) has a protruding portion (L) on the end face. Goto does not teach a shady film. Kobayashi et al. teach in Figure 2 a semiconductor light emitting device comprising stripe ridge (12) with a shady film (13) covered over the entire surface except for the emission portion. (See also column 7 lines 55-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a shady film in Goto, as taught by Kobayashi et al., to protect the outer surfaces from oxidation and prolong the life of the laser, without inhibiting the emission of the light.

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding Claim 2, Kobayashi et al. teach in Figure 2 that the semiconductor layers comprise nitride. (Also, the Applicant states in the specification on page 23 that the invention is not limited to nitride semiconductor. Thus, other suitable materials may be used.)

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: US 6,134,368; US 5,640,410; and US 5,428,227.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davienne Monbleau whose telephone number is 703-306-5803. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on 703-308-3098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

**DNM** 

Domanne Monbleau

PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Paul &